

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/848,832	05/18/2004	Martin Kristen	22786	4791	
535	7590 09/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS			NELSON JR, MILTON		
5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			3636	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/848,832	KRISTEN, MARTIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2004 is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) Ite.	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which

papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show

every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the releasable coupling

securing the cushion to the outer end of the main link (see claim 12) must be shown or

the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). In the originally filed drawings, it appears that

the cushion connects to member 18 which is separated from the outer end (at 27) by

way of members 8. No new matter should be entered.

Specification

The specification is objected to because line 6 on page 2 is grammatically

incorrect. Note the recitation "dirty anything that gets touches". Line 13 on page 3 is

also grammatically incorrect. Note the recitation "According to the invention arm is

comprised of". Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 1, Applicant describes the inner wheel and the outer wheel as being fixed nonrotatably. Applicant also describes the wheels as being provided for joint synchronous rotation. It is unclear how the wheels are capable of joint synchronous rotation while being fixed nonrotatably. Such appears to be contradictory. Claims 2-12 are indefinite since each depends from an indefinite claim. Clarification is required.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is unclear how the cushion is secured by the releasable coupling to the outer end of the main link 7. In the originally filed drawings, it appears that the cushion connects to member 18, which is separated from the outer end (at 27) by way of members 8. Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 3636

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. An adjustable footrest assembly including a wheeled adjusting device is shown by each of Garas (3873152), McGregor (27645), Kluglein et al (2834397), Ryan et al (4678229), Knaus (6454353), Armstrong (513169), and Wagner et al (24511).

Claims 1-12 have not been rejected in view of the prior art of record, however note the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is 7033082117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-3:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/848,832

Art Unit: 3636

Milton Nelson, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

mn

September 19, 2004